

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~

IBSEC-M-107 (Draft)  
19 November 1968

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD  
SECURITY COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM FOR: Members of the Security Committee

SUBJECT : Draft Minutes of the 19 November 1968  
Security Committee Meeting

There is attached draft minutes of the 19 November 1968 meeting of the Security Committee which will be considered at the next meeting to be held in the near future.

STAT

Secretary

Attachment

(This cover memorandum may be downgraded to CONFIDENTIAL when separated from the attachment.)

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~

S-E-C-R-E-T

IBSEC-M-107 (Draft)  
19 November 1968

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD

SECURITY COMMITTEE

Minutes of  
One Hundred Seventh Meeting  
Rm. 4-E-64, CIA Headquarters Building  
Tuesday, 19 November 1968, 1000 Hours

Howard J. Osborn  
Chairman  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. G. Marvin Gentile, State Member

[Redacted]

STAT

Mr. Merrill T. Kelly, Army Alternate  
Capt. Thomas L. Stevens, Navy Member  
Mr. William Abbott, Navy Alternate  
Lt. Col. Philip J. Ryan, USMC, Navy Alternate  
Lt. Col. Charles V. Burns, USAF Alternate  
Mr. Robert Eldridge, USAF Alternate

[Redacted]

STAT

Mr. Michael A. Dematteis, AEC Alternate  
Mr. William O. Cregar, FBI Member

[Redacted]

STAT

Also present:

Mr. Daniel Dinan, Navy

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

STAT

STAT

S-E-C-R-E-T

Group 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

S-E-C-R-E-T

IBSEC-M-107 (Draft)  
19 November 1968

ITEMS INCLUDED

1. Approval of Draft Minutes of 106th Meeting (IBSEC-A-106, 24 September 1968).
2. Johnson Library Working Group (tentative).
3. Proposal for an Inter-Agency Training Film in the Field of Personnel Security.
4. USIB Policy Establishing Uniform Security Processing Procedures for Foreign Integrated and Liaison Personnel.
5. Classification of Information Derived from Telemetry (Presented by the Army Member).
6. Computer Security Status Report.
7. Security of Data Provided to External Contractors.
8. Security Responsibility for the National Indications Center.

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

IBSEC-M-107

19 November 1968

1. Approval of Minutes

IBSEC-M-106, 24 September 1968

The Minutes were approved as circulated.

2. Johnson Library Working Group

The Chairman pointed out that this Working Group had been organized as a result of a request from Admiral Taylor, the CIA Member of USIB. However, after the initial meeting of the Working Group, it was the feeling of the members that more information was required. As a result, the Chairman and  discussed this matter with Admiral Taylor and sought his guidance. Admiral Taylor pointed out that he wanted the Security Committee to re-emphasize to the USIB Members that appropriate existing regulations should be utilized in the handling of materials forwarded to the Johnson Library.

STAT

The Army Member inquired whether or not there are existing regulations and experience for past presidential libraries, and this was discussed. There are, in fact, extensive records concerning previous libraries. The AEC Member pointed out that AEC has done extensive work in the field and furnished the Secretary

- 2 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

with a copy of the AEC documentation concerning this. It was decided that an appropriate letter from the Director of Central Intelligence to the USIB Members would be prepared pointing out the provisos concerning handling of Johnson Library material. It was further decided that the USIB Members would be asked to advise appropriate personnel, i. e., their historians, of these provisos.

3. Proposal for an Inter-Agency Training Film  
in the Field of Personnel Security

The Chairman pointed out that the Agency was initiating this matter, but indicated that it is the Agency's feeling that many of the films now in use are, to a great extent, outmoded. The Chairman further pointed out his awareness of certain restrictions and reservations which the FBI Member would have concerning this proposal. Discussion followed, with the Members generally agreeing that this would be a valid matter to at least look into on a preliminary basis. The Army Member pointed out that he felt this would be worthwhile, only if the end product was subtle and sophisticated enough to be meaningful. The Army Member further pointed out that because of the extensive "working groups" already in existence he felt that the designation of an "Executive Agency" to handle this, receiving input from the other members would be of more use.

- 3 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

Further discussion followed in terms of who the audience for the film would be; the classification of the film, etc. In addition, the FBI Member pointed out that there would undoubtedly be difficulty in sanitizing or utilizing certain FBI cases.

The Chairman, with the concurrence and recommendation of the Members, indicated that CIA would be the Executive Agency for this matter, with [ ] CIA, of Office of Security Training Branch as the Chairman. The Secretary will assist [ ] in this, and after the research is completed and the input received the matter will again be brought before the Committee.

STAT

STAT

4. USIB Policy Establishing Uniform Security Processing Procedures for Foreign Integrated and Liaison Personnel

The Chairman indicated that there had been some objection among the Members to the form designed by an Agency representative. The FBI Member pointed out that he was opposed to the form in principal, particularly with respect to liaison personnel. Mr. Cregar commented he felt the form to be unnecessary. The State Member stated that the Department of State would recognize the form, with the proviso that only what information as could be obtained would be submitted. After discussion, the Chairman stated that the form would be utilized only for submission to the CIA of record information. He further commented that it would be pointed out to the

- 4 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

Members that the form, per se, is only an informational guide, and not a reporting requirement.

5. Classification of Information Derived from Telemetry

The Army Member initiated a brief discussion of this matter, indicating that the Army wished to know whether or not the paper in question did, in fact, set up a basic paper in terms of mechanical compartmentation or rather stressed further the "rules of need-to-know." The Chairman indicated that he felt that the paper was intended to "tighten up" the "need-to-know" principle in telemetry information.

6. Computer Security Status Report

Chairman of the Computer Security Working Group advised that the establishment of this group as a permanent subcommittee had been approved by USIB and by the DCI. The USIB Members agreed unanimously, with the Department of State setting forth the proviso that the Subcommittee not infringe upon the charters of the SIGINT, COMIREX and IHC. The Chairman opened the floor to discussion as to the Chairman of the Subcommittee, and it was unanimously decided that  would continue as the Chairman of the Computer Security Subcommittee. The Security Committee will reserve the right to change the Chairmanship to another Member Agency at some future date if this is deemed advisable.

STAT

STAT

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

#### 7. Security of Data Provided to External Contractors

The Chairman advised that the CIA Office of Security had recently conducted a survey of this problem for the Executive Director-Comptroller and indicated that Colonel White had asked that the Security Committee consider the problem in terms of both external contractors and non-USIB agencies. Mr. Osborn stated that he felt the information contained in the COMINT and Codeword systems to be well protected under existing regulations. However, he noted that the release of information to non-USIB agencies did sometimes cause problems.

After discussion, the Chairman asked that each Member of the Committee explore their own external contracting situation and report back by 1 December 1968 as to whether or not it was felt that standards were being met. Mr. Osborn indicated that the Agency would survey the problem of the non-USIB agencies. The Navy Member mentioned a specific case concerning a serious breach of security at a Navy "think-tank."

#### 8. Security Responsibility for the National Indications Center

The Chairman indicated that the Army Member had raised this question, and after discussion, it was not clear as to who does have the security responsibility for the NIC. The Chairman

- 6 -

S-E-C-R-E-T



S-E-C-R-E-T

indicated that he would set up a meeting of himself, [REDACTED]

STAT

[REDACTED] and Mr. Merrill Kelly, Army to explore this.

STAT

Discussion indicated that generally speaking, ACSI has security responsibility for CI investigative support within the Pentagon.

However, NIC does not, at this time, come under the ACSI's charter.

9. Miscellaneous Items

The Chairman indicated that the Provocations and Harassments paper is now over a year old and commented that it had been originally intended to update this once a year. He asked the Members to ascertain whether or not sufficient new information exists in their agencies to warrant such an updating.

The Chairman again mentioned the annual White House Counterintelligence report, commenting that it is due in CIA by the end of November.

The Chairman informed the Members that [REDACTED]

STAT

[REDACTED] author of "Where's What" is being considered for an Agency award and noted that written comments of the Members concerning the value of this book would be of use to the CIA Awards Committee.

STAT

Mr. Osborn informed the Members that the ARFCOS paper had been forwarded to the Secretary of Defense by the Director of Central Intelligence and stated that the Joint Chiefs of Staff is now looking into this matter.

- 7 -

S-E-C-R-E-T